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# Momentum Effects in the Cryptocurrency Market After One-Day Abnormal Returns 


#### Abstract

This paper examines whether there exists a momentum effect after one-day abnormal returns in the cryptocurrency market. For this purpose a number of hypotheses of interest are tested for the BitCoin, Ethereum and LiteCoin exchange rates vis-à-vis the US dollar over the period 01.01.2017-01.09.2019, specifically whether or not: H1) the intraday behaviour of hourly returns is different on overreaction days compared to normal days; H 2 ) there is a momentum effect on overreaction days, and H3) after one-day abnormal returns. The methods used for the analysis include a number of statistical methods as well as a trading simulation approach. The results suggest that hourly returns during the day of positive/negative overreactions are significantly higher/lower than those during the average positive/negative day. Overreactions can usually be detected before the day ends by estimating specific timing parameters. Prices tend to move in the direction of the overreaction till the end of the day when it occurs, which implies the existence of a momentum effect on that day giving rise to exploitable profit opportunities. This effect (together with profit opportunities) is also observed on the following day. In two cases (BTCUSD positive overreactions and ETHUSD negative overreactions) a contrarian effect is detected instead.


JEL-Codes: G120, G170, C630.
Keywords: cryptocurrencies, anomalies, momentum effect, overreactions, abnormal returns, patterns.

Guglielmo Maria Caporale*<br>Department of Economics and Finance<br>Brunel University London<br>United Kingdom - London, UB8 3PH<br>Guglielmo-Maria.Caporale@brunel.ac.uk

Alex Plastun<br>Sumy State University<br>Sumy / Ukraine<br>o.plastun@gmail.com

## *corresponding author

October 2019
The second-named author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (0117U003936).

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) is inconsistent with the existence of abnormal returns, i.e. of fat tails in the price distribution. However, numerous empirical studies have reported evidence of so-called market overreactions. De Bondt and Thaler (1985) developed the overreaction hypothesis to describe price patterns caused by abnormal price changes. The subsequent literature has also analysed the reasons for abnormal price changes (Griffin and Tversky, 1992; Aiyagari and Gertler, 1999; Madura and Richie, 2004; Mynhardt and Plastun, 2013); price patterns (Cutler et al., 1991; Ferri and Min, 1996); trading strategies based on overreactions (Jegadeesh, 1993; Caporale and Plastun, 2019); the influence of price overreactions on market participants (Savor, 2012), etc. According to the overreaction hypothesis there should be price reversals after abnormal price changes; various studies have analysed the US stock market (De Bondt and Thaler, 1985; Jegadeesh, 1993; Ferri and Min, 1996), other stock markets (Lobe and Rieks, 2011; Mynhardt and Plastun, 2013), the FOREX (Caporale et al., 2018), option (Poteshman, 2001) and commodity markets (Cutler et al., 1991), and most of them have provided evidence in favour of price reversals after overreactions, with a few detecting instead momentum effects (Cox and Peterson, 1994).

The cryptocurrency market represents a particularly interesting case being rather new, relatively unexplored and at the same time extremely vulnerable to overreactions, given its high volatility relative to the FOREX, stock and commodity markets etc. (Cheung et al., 2015; Aalborg et al., 2019). Recent studies have analysed its efficiency (Bartos, 2015; Urquhart, 2016), long-memory properties and persistence (Bariviera et al., 2017; ), the existence of price bubbles (Corbet et al, 2018), its competitiveness (Halaburda and Gandal, 2014), the issue of predictability (Bouri et al, 2018; Caporale et al., 2019) and the presence of anomalies (Kurihara and Fukushima, 2017; Caporale and Plastun, 2018). However, there are very few studies focusing on overreactions in the cryptocurrency market. Chevapatrakul and Mascia (2019) using the quantile autoregressive model show that days with extremely negative returns are likely to be followed by periods characterised by negative returns and weekly positive returns as Bitcoin prices continue to rise. Caporale and Plastun (2019) find evidence of price patterns after overreactions, i.e. the next-day price changes in both directions are bigger than after "normal" days; they show that a strategy based on the momentum effect (rather than counter-movements) after overreactions is profitable.

The present paper extends the analysis of Caporale and Plastun (2019) by examining whether there exists a momentum effect after one-day abnormal returns in the cryptocurrency market. For this purpose a number of hypotheses of interest are tested for the BitCoin, Ethereum and LiteCoin exchange rates vis-à-vis the US dollar over the period 01.01.2017-01.09.2019, specifically whether or not: H1) the intraday behaviour of hourly returns is different on overreaction days compared to normal days; H2) there is a momentum effect on overreaction days, and H3) after one-day abnormal returns. The methods used for the analysis include statistical tests and the cumulative abnormal returns and trading simulation approaches. The results are relevant to both academics interested in the EMH and practitioners (traders, investors, financial analysts, etc.) aiming to design profitable trading strategies using information about the existence or not of a momentum effect, the timing of the overreactions and the duration of the anomaly.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the methodology. Section 3 discusses the empirical results. Section 4 offers some concluding remarks.

## 2. Methodology

Our sample includes daily and hourly data for the following cryptocurrencies: BitCoin, Ethereum and LiteCoin. These are the most popular cryptocurrencies with the highest market capitalisation and longest time horizon (Table 1). We analyse their exchange rates vis-à-vis the US dollar: BTCUSD, ETHUSD and LTCUSD. The sample period is 01.01.2015-01.09.2019, and the data sources are CoinMarketCap (https://coinmarketcap.com/coins/), Gemini (https://gemini.com/) and Bitstamp (https://www.bitstamp.net). These are leading exchanges and trading platforms in the cryptocurrency market. For example, CoinMarketCap calculates prices as the volume-weighted average of all prices reported for each market. As a result BitCoin prices are the average of those from 400 markets.

Table 1: Capitalisation of the cryptocurrency market (08.10.2019)

| $\#$ | Name | Market Cap | Price | Circulating Supply | Data start <br> from |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bitcoin | $\$ 148657197170$ | $\$ 8267.84$ | 17980175 BTC | 28 Apr 2013 |
| 2 | Ethereum | $\$ 19674550330$ | $\$ 182.07$ | 108059235 ETH | 07 Aug 2015 |
| 3 | Ripple | $\$ 12017970035$ | $\$ 0.278408$ | 43166787298 XRP | 04 Aug 2013 |
| 4 | Bitcoin Cash | $\$ 4236366686$ | $\$ 234.76$ | 18045263 BCH | 23 Jul 2017 |


| \# | Name | Market Cap | Price | Circulating Supply | Data start <br> from |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Litecoin | $\$ 3659603443$ | $\$ 57.70$ | 63420942 LTC | 28 Apr 2013 |

Cryptocurrency Market Capitalisation. Data source: https://coinmarketcap.com/coins/

We define overreactions on the basis of the number of standard deviations to be added to the average return.

In order to avoid the distortions caused by price gaps, returns $\left(R_{i}\right)$ are computed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{Close}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{Open}_{\mathrm{i}}}-1\right) \times 100 \%, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i} \quad-\quad$ returns on the $i$-th day in \%;
Open $_{\mathrm{i}}-\quad$ open price on the $i$-th day;
Close $_{i} \quad-\quad$ close price on the $i$-th day.

The returns calculated using (1) are divided into two data sets corresponding respectively to positive and negative overreactions with the aim of testing for possible differences in price behaviour between those two cases.

A positive overreaction is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}>\left(\bar{R}_{n}+k \times \delta_{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a negative overreaction as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}<\left(\bar{R}_{n}-k \times \delta_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the number of standard deviations used to identify the overreaction ( $k=2$ for BTCUSD and $k=1.5$ for ETHUSD and LTCUSD, $k$ being chosen on the basis of the sample size to generate in each case a sufficient number of overreactions); $\bar{R}_{n}$ is the average size of daily returns for period $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} / n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta_{n}$ is the standard deviation of daily returns for period n

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{i}-\bar{R}\right)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following hypotheses are tested:
Hypothesis 1 (H1): The intraday behaviour of hourly returns is different on overreaction days compared to normal days.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): There is a momentum effect on overreaction days.
Hypothesis 3 (H3): There is a momentum effect after one-day abnormal returns.

To test these hypotheses we use the following methods:

- Visual inspection and average analysis;
- Student's t-tests;
- A cumulative abnormal returns approach;
- A trading simulation approach.

The cumulative abnormal returns approach is based on MacKinlay (1997) and is standard for event studies. Abnormal returns are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R_{t}=R_{t}-E\left(R_{t}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{t}$ is the return at time $t$ and $E\left(R_{t}\right)$ is corresponding average return computed over the whole sample period as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(R_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{T} R_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the sample size.
The cumulative abnormal return denoted as $C A R_{i}$ is simply the sum of the abnormal returns:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C A R_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{24} \quad A R_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parametric t-tests are also carried out for Hypothesis 1. The Null Hypothesis (H0) is that the data (hourly returns on the overreaction day and in the full sample) belong to the same population, a rejection of the null suggesting the presence of a statistical anomaly in the price behavior on the overreaction day. The test is carried out at the $95 \%$ confidence level, and the degrees of freedom are $\mathrm{N}-1$ ( N being equal to $\mathrm{N} 1+\mathrm{N} 2$ ).

The trading simulation approach replicates the actions of traders by using appropriate algorithms for trading strategies based on the observed price patterns; its aim is to establish whether the detected anomalies can be exploited to generate abnormal profits. It should be mentioned that our analysis does not incorporate transaction costs such as spreads, broker or bank fees, swaps etc., and therefore is only a proxy for actual trading. However, in the case of Internet trading such costs are typically small and ignoring them does not affect the results.

The percentage results for an individual deal are computed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% \text { result }=\left(\frac{P_{\text {close }}}{P_{\text {open }}}-1\right) \times 100 \% \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\text {open }}$ - opening price for the trade
$P_{\text {close }}$ - closing price for the trade

The sum of the results from each trade is the total financial result of trading. A strategy producing positive total profits implies that there exists an exploitable market anomaly.

Another important indicator of the degree of success of the trading strategy is the percentage of successful trades:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% \text { successful trades }=\frac{100 \% \times \text { number of successful trades }}{\text { overall number of trades }} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish whether or not the results obtained are statistically different from the random trading ones t-tests are carried out. These compare the means from two samples to see whether or not they come from the same population. The first sample consists of the trading results from the trading strategy, and the second one of random trading results. The null hypothesis is that the mean is the same in both samples, and the alternative that it is not. The computed values of the t-test are compared with the critical ones at the $5 \%$ significance level. Failure to reject the null implies that there are no advantages from exploiting the trading strategy being considered since the trading results do not differ from the random ones, whilst a rejection suggests that the adopted strategy can generate abnormal profits since the trading results are not random and therefore it is possible to "beat the market". As an example, the t-test results for LTCUSD in the case of Strategy 1 are shown in Table 3.

## Table 2: t-test for evaluating the success of the trading strategy: LTCUSD, positive overreactions, Strategy 1

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of the trades | 38 |
| Total profit | $311.39 \%$ |
| Average profit per trade | $8.19 \%$ |
| Standard deviation | $7.01 \%$ |
| t-test | 7.20 |
| t critical value (0.95\%) | 1.78 |
| Null hypothesis | rejected |

## 3. Empirical Results

We divide the results into two sets including respectively those for the day of overreactions and those for the day after in order to explore price behaviour when abnormal price movements are observed and after them. The results for BTCUSD are presented in Appendices $A$ and $B$ (for the day of overreactions and the day after in turn). Figures A. 1 and A. 2 show that returns on overreaction days differ from those on normal days; the t-test statistics confirm that these differences are statistically significant. This holds for both positive and negative overreactions (Table A. 2 and Table A.3).

The cumulative abnormal returns analysis (Table A. 4 and Figure A.2) provides information on the average timing of the anomaly appearance: in general positive overreactions are detected after 6 pm and negative ones after 4 pm , namely in both cases some time before the end of the trading day.

Concerning price behaviour on the day after overreactions, average hourly BTCUSD returns after a positive overreaction are much lower than on normal days during the first hours of the following day (Figure B.1), and these differences are statistically significant (Table B.1), which implies the existence of a contrarian effect. As for negative overreactions, on the following day prices tend to move in the direction of the overreaction (Figure B. 2 and Table B.2), which represents evidence of a momentum effect.

Specific timings for trading can be determined. The contrarian strategy for BTCUSD after a positive overreaction is as follows: sell on the start of the day and close position after 4 pm . The momentum strategy for the case of negative overreactions is instead to sell at the start of the day after the negative overreaction and close this position after 11am (Table B. 3 and Figure B.3). A similar analysis is carried out for LTCUSD (Appendices C and D) and ETHUSD (Appendices E and F). These results are summarised in Table 3 (for positive overreactions) and Table 4 (for
negative overreactions). As can be seen, they are generally very similar to those for BTCUSD.

Table 3: Overall results for the case of positive overreactions

| Parameter/Instrument | BTCUSD | LTCUSD | ETHUSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day of the overreaction |  |  |  |
| Are there significant differences in returns (overreaction day vs usual day)? | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Are there any patterns in cumulative abnormal returns dynamics? | Yes. CAR increase till the end of the day | Yes. CAR increase till the end of the day | Yes. CAR increase till the end of the day |
| Timing of overreaction | 18:00 | 13:00 | 12:00 |
| Day after the overreaction |  |  |  |
| Is there momentum effect on the day after the overreaction? | No | Yes | Yes |
| Timing parameters of momentum movements | Since the start of the day till 16:00* | Since the start of the day till 13:00 | Since the start of the day till 21:00 |

* contrarian effect detected

Table 4: Overall results for the case of negative overreactions

| Parameter/ Instrument | BTCUSD | LTCUSD | ETHUSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day of the overreaction |  |  |  |
| Are there significant differences in returns (overreaction day vs usual day)? | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Any patterns in cumulative abnormal returns dynamics? | Yes. CAR decrease till the end of the day | Yes. CAR decrease till the end of the day | Yes. CAR decrease till the end of the day |
| Timing of overreaction | 16:00 | 14:00 | 13:00 |
| Day after the overreaction |  |  |  |
| Is there momentum effect on the day after the overreaction? | Yes | Yes | No |
| Timing parameters of contrarian movements | Since the start of the day till 11:00 | Since the start of the day till 10:00 | Since the start of the day till the end of the day* |

* contrarian effect detected

Our findings can be summarised as follows:

- hourly returns during the day of positive/negative overreactions are significantly higher/lower than those during the average positive/negative day;
- overreaction can be detected before the day ends and specific timing parameters for the overreactions can be estimated;
- prices tend to move in the direction of overreactions till the end of the day, i.e. H 2 cannot be rejected: there is a momentum effect on the day of the overreaction;
- the behaviour of the market after one-day abnormal returns in most cases also confirms the existence of a momentum effect. Usually it is short-term, and specific timing parameters can be estimated for the asset of interest;
- in two cases (BTCUSD positive overreactions and ETHUSD negative overreactions) a contrarian effect is detected.

On the basis of these results the following profitable strategies can be developed:

Strategy 1: when it becomes clear that the current day is an overreaction day (see the timing of overreaction parameter in Tables 3 and 4) a position in the direction of overreaction should be opened. This position should then be closed at the end of the day.

Strategy 2: at the beginning of the day after the overreaction a position in the direction of the overreaction should be opened. This position should then be closed on the basis of the timing parameters for the momentum effect displayed in Tables 3 and 4. If this effect is not present, a contrarian trading strategy should be used: at the beginning of the day after the overreaction a position in the opposite direction to the overreaction should be opened.

The trading simulation results for the two strategies are presented in Tables 5 (for positive overreactions) and 6 (for negative overreactions).

Table 5: Trading simulation results for the case of positive overreactions

| Instrument | Number <br> of trades. units | Number of successful trades. units | Number of successful trades. \% | Profit. <br> \% | Profit \% per year | Profit <br> \% per <br> trade | t-test calculated value | t-test status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BTCUSD | 49 | 42 | 86\% | 143.11\% | 28.62\% | 2.92\% | 6.62 | rejected |
| LTCUSD | 38 | 37 | 97\% | 311.39\% | 103.80\% | 8.19\% | 7.20 | rejected |
| ETHUSD | 58 | 45 | 78\% | 507.63\% | 50.76\% | 8.75\% | 11.06 | rejected |
| Strategy 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BTCUSD* | 49 | 29 | 59.2\% | 75.3\% | 15.06\% | 1.54\% | 1.75 | not rejected |
| LTCUSD | 38 | 14 | 37\% | 61.80\% | 20.60\% | 1.63\% | 0.76 | not rejected |
| ETHUSD | 58 | 25 | 43\% | 130.20\% | 43.40\% | 2.24\% | 1.87 | rejected |

* A contrarian trading strategy is used

Table 6: Trading simulation results for the case of negative overreactions

|  | Number <br> of <br> trades. <br> units | Number of <br> successful <br> trades. <br> units | Number of <br> successful <br> trades. $\%$ | Srofit. <br> \% | Strategy 1 <br> Instrument <br> \%ear | Profit <br> \% per <br> trade | t-test <br> calculated <br> value | t-test <br> status |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BTCUSD | 46 | 42 | $91 \%$ | $202.41 \%$ | $40.48 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | 8.21 | rejected |
| LTCUSD | 39 | 33 | $85 \%$ | $170.75 \%$ | $56.92 \%$ | $4.38 \%$ | 4.31 | rejected |


| ETHUSD | 57 | 43 | $75 \%$ | $334.54 \%$ | $111.51 \%$ | $5.87 \%$ | 7.84 | rejected |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BTCUSD | 46 | 25 | $54.3 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $1.13 \%$ | 1.55 | not <br> rejected |  |
| LTCUSD | 39 | 20 | $51.3 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $1.94 \%$ | 1.93 | rejected |  |
| ETHUSD* | 57 | 38 | $66.7 \%$ | $225.6 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ | 3.46 | rejected |  |

* A contrarian trading strategy is used

As can be seen, the detected anomalies can be exploited in most cases to generate abnormal profits from trading and to "beat the market".

## 4. Conclusions

This paper explores the momentum effect in the cryptocurrency market after one-day abnormal returns. Daily and intraday data on the BTCUSD, ETHUSD and LTCUSD exchange rates over the period 01.01.2017-01.09.2019 are analysed using a number of statistical methods as well as a trading simulation approach. The results suggest that hourly returns during the day of positive/negative overreactions are significantly higher/lower than those during the average positive/negative day.

Further, overreactions can usually be detected before the day ends by estimating specific timing parameters. Prices tend to move in the direction of the overreaction till the end of the day when it occurs, which implies the existence of a momentum effect during that day giving rise to exploitable profit opportunities. This effect (together with profit opportunities) is also observed on the following day. In two cases (BTCUSD positive overreactions and ETHUSD negative overreactions) a contrarian effect is detected instead. These findings are of interest to both investors aiming to maximize their profits and academics interested in the empirical relevance of the EMH.
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## Appendix A

## BTCUSD: day of overreaction

Table A.1: Descriptive statistics for BTCUSD data: daily, hourly, days with positive and negative returns

| Parameter | Daily data | Positive <br> days | Negative <br> days | Hourly <br> data | Positive days <br> (hourly data) | Negative days <br> (hourly data) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 0.0034 | 0.1178 | -0.1086 | 0.0002 | 0.0047 | -0.0045 |
| Standard error | 0.0011 | 0.0053 | 0.0033 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 |
| Median | 0.0025 | 0.1051 | -0.1029 | 0.0000 | 0.0029 | -0.0019 |
| Mode | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.0409 | 0.0372 | 0.0225 | 0.0091 | 0.0162 | 0.0203 |
| Variance | 0.0017 | 0.0014 | 0.0005 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 |
| Kurtosis | 4.5097 | 8.1529 | -0.1042 | 27.3573 | 8.9389 | 13.6233 |
| Skewness | 0.2498 | 2.6496 | -0.8845 | -0.1641 | 1.1748 | -0.4895 |
| Minimum | -0.1617 | 0.0853 | -0.1617 | -0.1854 | -0.0768 | -0.1854 |
| Maximum | 0.2763 | 0.2763 | -0.0789 | 0.1664 | 0.1209 | 0.1664 |
| Sum | 4.9027 | 5.7742 | -4.9956 | 5.1913 | 5.5753 | -5.0193 |
| Observations | 1427 | 49 | 46 | 34235 | 1176 | 1104 |

Figure A.1: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, BTCUSD


Figure A.2: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, BTCUSD


Table A.2: t-test of hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, BTCUSD

| Hour | Average return on positive overreaction day (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | t criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | 0.94\% | 1.16\% | 48 | 0.12\% | 0.81\% | 756 | 4.80 |
| 1:00 | 0.32\% | 1.62\% | 48 | 0.10\% | 0.76\% | 756 | 0.93 |
| 2:00 | 0.22\% | 1.17\% | 48 | 0.12\% | 0.72\% | 756 | 0.56 |
| 3:00 | 0.46\% | 1.38\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.02\% | 0.65\% | 756 | $\underline{2.24}$ |
| 4:00 | 0.76\% | 2.19\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.08\% | 0.69\% | 756 | 2.15 |
| 5:00 | 0.40\% | 1.41\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.01\% | 0.62\% | 756 | 1.92 |
| 6:00 | 0.17\% | 1.61\% | 48 | 0.08\% | 0.65\% | 756 | 0.37 |
| 7:00 | 0.11\% | 1.19\% | 48 | 0.11\% | 0.70\% | 756 | -0.01 |
| 8:00 | 0.59\% | 1.66\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.06\% | 0.72\% | 756 | $\underline{2.22}$ |
| 9:00 | 0.49\% | 1.78\% | 48 | 0.10\% | 0.72\% | 756 | 1.52 |
| 10:00 | 0.37\% | 1.14\% | 48 | 0.07\% | 0.69\% | 756 | 1.80 |
| 11:00 | 0.30\% | 2.36\% | 48 | 0.12\% | 0.70\% | 756 | 0.51 |
| 12:00 | 1.18\% | 2.17\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.10\% | 0.70\% | 756 | 3.44 |
| 13:00 | 0.46\% | 1.64\% | 48 | 0.12\% | 0.83\% | 756 | 1.42 |
| 14:00 | 0.50\% | 2.09\% | 48 | 0.08\% | 0.73\% | 756 | 1.36 |
| 15:00 | 0.51\% | 1.19\% | $\underline{48}$ | 0.12\% | 0.83\% | 756 | $\underline{2.22}$ |
| 16:00 | 0.20\% | 1.93\% | 48 | 0.13\% | 0.74\% | 756 | 0.25 |
| 17:00 | 0.50\% | 1.70\% | 48 | 0.10\% | 0.67\% | 756 | 1.61 |
| 18:00 | 0.69\% | 1.20\% | 48 | 0.06\% | 0.58\% | 756 | 3.56 |


| $19: 00$ | $0.38 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ | 48 | $0.06 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | 756 | 1.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ | 48 | $0.01 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | 756 | 1.09 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.41 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | 48 | $0.10 \%$ | $0.79 \%$ | 756 | 1.69 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 7 6 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 5 9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 1 3 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 7 3 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{7 5 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 7 5}}$ |
| $23: 00$ | $0.37 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 \%}$ | $\underline{48}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 \%}$ | $0.73 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 6}$ | 1.56 |

Table A.3:t-test of hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, BTCUSD

| Hour | Average return on positive overreaction day (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | t criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | -0.34\% | 1.83\% | 45 | -0.18\% | 0.93\% | 570 | -0.59 |
| 1:00 | -0.39\% | 1.37\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.82\% | 570 | -1.50 |
| 2:00 | -0.70\% | 1.52\% | 45 | $\underline{\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6 \%}}$ | 0.87\% | 570 | -2.37 |
| 3:00 | -0.41\% | 1.49\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.74\% | 570 | -1.48 |
| 4:00 | -0.12\% | 1.43\% | 45 | -0.13\% | 0.89\% | 570 | 0.07 |
| 5:00 | 0.03\% | 1.12\% | 45 | -0.10\% | 0.87\% | 570 | 0.75 |
| 6:00 | -0.38\% | 1.33\% | 45 | -0.05\% | 0.75\% | 570 | -1.62 |
| 7:00 | -0.42\% | 1.01\% | $\underline{45}$ | 0.01\% | 0.90\% | 570 | $\underline{-2.75}$ |
| 8:00 | -0.59\% | 1.42\% | $\underline{45}$ | -0.09\% | 0.80\% | 570 | -2.32 |
| 9:00 | -0.81\% | 1.80\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.76\% | 570 | -2.70 |
| 10:00 | -0.47\% | 1.68\% | 45 | -0.13\% | 0.88\% | 570 | -1.37 |
| 11:00 | -0.25\% | 1.82\% | 45 | -0.05\% | 0.73\% | 570 | -0.70 |
| 12:00 | -0.60\% | 2.16\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.84\% | 570 | -1.61 |
| 13:00 | $\underline{-1.21 \%}$ | 2.20\% | 45 | -0.13\% | 1.10\% | 570 | -3.24 |
| 14:00 | -0.02\% | 2.46\% | 45 | -0.14\% | 0.93\% | 570 | 0.32 |
| 15:00 | -0.26\% | 2.04\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.85\% | 570 | -0.59 |
| 16:00 | -1.09\% | 2.26\% | 45 | -0.07\% | 1.11\% | 570 | -3.01 |
| 17:00 | -0.95\% | 2.26\% | 45 | $\underline{-0.08 \%}$ | 0.87\% | 570 | -2.57 |
| 18:00 | -0.38\% | 1.70\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.73\% | 570 | -1.18 |
| 19:00 | -0.24\% | 1.45\% | 45 | -0.06\% | 0.94\% | 570 | -0.85 |
| 20:00 | -0.18\% | 2.62\% | 45 | -0.06\% | 0.88\% | 570 | -0.29 |
| 21:00 | -0.47\% | 2.05\% | 45 | 0.00\% | 1.07\% | 570 | -1.51 |
| 22:00 | -0.65\% | 3.79\% | 45 | -0.02\% | 0.84\% | 570 | -1.12 |
| 23:00 | -0.01\% | 3.25\% | 45 | -0.08\% | 0.93\% | 570 | 0.14 |

Figure A.2: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns: case of BTCUSD


Table A.4: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative overreactions, BTCUSD

| Hour | Positive overreactions |  |  | Negative overreactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abnormal returns | CAR | Overreaction cross | Abnormal returns | CAR | Overreaction cross |
| 0:00 | 0.82\% | 0.82\% | 7.58\% | -0.16\% | -0.16\% | -7.49\% |
| 1:00 | 0.22\% | 1.04\% | 7.26\% | -0.31\% | -0.47\% | -7.10\% |
| 2:00 | 0.10\% | 1.13\% | 7.04\% | -0.54\% | -1.01\% | -6.40\% |
| 3:00 | 0.45\% | 1.58\% | 6.58\% | -0.33\% | -1.35\% | -5.99\% |
| 4:00 | 0.68\% | 2.26\% | 5.82\% | 0.02\% | -1.33\% | -5.87\% |
| 5:00 | 0.39\% | 2.65\% | 5.41\% | 0.13\% | -1.20\% | -5.91\% |
| 6:00 | 0.09\% | 2.74\% | 5.24\% | -0.33\% | -1.53\% | -5.53\% |
| 7:00 | 0.00\% | 2.74\% | 5.14\% | -0.43\% | -1.96\% | -5.11\% |
| 8:00 | 0.53\% | 3.27\% | 4.54\% | -0.50\% | -2.45\% | -4.52\% |
| 9:00 | 0.39\% | 3.67\% | 4.05\% | -0.73\% | -3.18\% | -3.71\% |
| 10:00 | 0.30\% | 3.96\% | 3.68\% | -0.35\% | -3.53\% | -3.24\% |
| 11:00 | 0.17\% | 4.14\% | 3.39\% | -0.19\% | -3.72\% | -2.99\% |
| 12:00 | 1.08\% | 5.22\% | 2.21\% | -0.52\% | -4.24\% | -2.39\% |
| 13:00 | 0.34\% | 5.56\% | 1.75\% | -1.07\% | -5.31\% | -1.18\% |
| 14:00 | 0.41\% | 5.97\% | 1.25\% | 0.12\% | -5.20\% | -1.16\% |
| 15:00 | 0.39\% | 6.35\% | 0.74\% | -0.18\% | -5.38\% | -0.89\% |
| 16:00 | 0.07\% | 6.43\% | 0.54\% | -1.02\% | -6.40\% | 0.20\% |
| 17:00 | 0.40\% | 6.82\% | 0.04\% | -0.87\% | -7.27\% | 1.15\% |
| 18:00 | 0.62\% | 7.44\% | -0.65\% | -0.30\% | -7.57\% | 1.53\% |
| 19:00 | 0.32\% | 7.76\% | -1.03\% | -0.19\% | -7.76\% | 1.78\% |
| 20:00 | 0.28\% | 8.05\% | -1.33\% | -0.12\% | -7.87\% | 1.96\% |
| 21:00 | 0.31\% | 8.36\% | -1.73\% | -0.47\% | -8.34\% | 2.43\% |
| 22:00 | 0.63\% | 8.99\% | -2.49\% | -0.63\% | -8.97\% | 3.08\% |
| 23:00 | 0.26\% | 9.25\% | -2.86\% | 0.07\% | -8.90\% | 3.08\% |

## Appendix B

## BTCUSD: day after the overreaction

Figure B.1: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, BTCUSD


Table B.1: $\mathbf{t}$-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, BTCUSD

| Hour | Average return on day after positive overreaction (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | t criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | $\underline{-0.36 \%}$ | 1.46\% | $\underline{49}$ | 0.18\% | 0.47\% | 1427 | $\underline{-2.60}$ |
| 1:00 | 0.14\% | 1.44\% | 49 | 0.25\% | 0.85\% | 1427 | -0.51 |
| 2:00 | 0.04\% | 1.46\% | 49 | 0.06\% | 0.57\% | 1427 | -0.11 |
| 3:00 | -0.24\% | 1.16\% | 49 | 0.16\% | 0.44\% | 1427 | -2.43 |
| 4:00 | -0.05\% | 1.89\% | 49 | 0.05\% | 0.85\% | 1427 | -0.36 |
| 5:00 | -0.32\% | 1.52\% | 49 | -0.04\% | 0.66\% | 1427 | -1.29 |
| 6:00 | 0.01\% | 1.37\% | 49 | 0.20\% | 0.53\% | 1427 | -0.95 |
| 7:00 | 0.58\% | 1.28\% | 49 | 0.04\% | 0.78\% | 1427 | 2.91 |
| 8:00 | -0.52\% | 1.36\% | 49 | $\underline{0.11 \%}$ | 0.42\% | 1427 | -3.28 |
| 9:00 | 0.00\% | 1.36\% | 49 | 0.11\% | 0.80\% | 1427 | -0.55 |
| 10:00 | 0.19\% | 1.27\% | 49 | -0.06\% | 0.66\% | 1427 | 1.39 |
| 11:00 | 0.08\% | 1.53\% | 49 | 0.18\% | 0.51\% | 1427 | -0.49 |
| 12:00 | 0.24\% | 2.33\% | 49 | -0.24\% | 1.02\% | 1427 | 1.43 |
| 13:00 | -0.17\% | 1.36\% | $\underline{49}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 1 9 \%}}$ | 0.91\% | 1427 | $\underline{-1.82}$ |
| 14:00 | 0.05\% | 1.75\% | 49 | 0.06\% | 0.44\% | 1427 | -0.04 |
| 15:00 | -0.31\% | 1.31\% | $\underline{49}$ | 0.25\% | 0.70\% | 1427 | -2.99 |
| 16:00 | -0.50\% | 1.70\% | 49 | 0.09\% | 0.63\% | 1427 | -2.42 |
| 17:00 | 0.51\% | 1.28\% | $\underline{49}$ | -0.29\% | 0.90\% | 1427 | $\underline{4.36}$ |
| 18:00 | 0.09\% | 1.32\% | 49 | -0.04\% | 0.48\% | 1427 | 0.68 |


| $19: 00$ | $-0.35 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 49 | $-0.06 \%$ | $0.64 \%$ | 1427 | -1.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 49 | $-0.10 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | 1427 | 1.25 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.06 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ | 49 | $0.09 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | 1427 | -0.09 |
| $22: 00$ | $0.10 \%$ | $1.74 \%$ | 49 | $0.05 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | 1427 | 0.19 |
| $23: 00$ | $0.02 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ | 49 | $0.08 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | 1427 | -0.28 |

Figure B.2: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, BTCUSD


Table B.2: $\mathbf{t}$-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, BTCUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> day after <br> negative <br> overreaction <br> (OD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> triterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.76 \%$ | 46 | $0.18 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ | 1427 | -0.54 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 0 . 3 1 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 8 3 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 8 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 4 2 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 2 . 0 7}}$ |
| $2: 00$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ | 46 | $0.06 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | 1427 | -0.87 |
| $3: 00$ | $0.15 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 46 | $0.16 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | 1427 | -0.05 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{4 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 0 . 2 8 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 2 2 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 8 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 4 2 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 1 . 7 8}}$ |
| $5: 00$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\underline{-0.04 \%}$ | $0.66 \%$ | 1427 | -0.31 |
| $6: 00$ | $0.05 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | 46 | $0.20 \%$ | $0.53 \%$ | 1427 | -0.65 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.24 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 46 | $0.04 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | 1427 | 1.02 |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.87 \%$ | 46 | $0.11 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | 1427 | -0.70 |
| $9: 00$ | $0.06 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ | 46 | $0.11 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | 1427 | -0.15 |
| $10: 00$ | $-0.21 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ | 46 | $-0.06 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | 1427 | -0.60 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0}$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ | 46 | $0.18 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ | 1427 | -1.59 |
| $12: 00$ | $0.36 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | 46 | $-0.24 \%$ | $1.02 \%$ | 1427 | 1.83 |


| $13: 00$ | $0.01 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ | 46 | $0.19 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ | 1427 | -0.46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14: 00$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ | 46 | $0.06 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | 1427 | -0.69 |
| $15: 00$ | $0.20 \%$ | $1.69 \%$ | 46 | $0.25 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | 1427 | -0.22 |
| $16: 00$ | $0.65 \%$ | $2.24 \%$ | 46 | $0.09 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ | 1427 | 1.69 |
| $17: 00$ | $-0.34 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ | 46 | $-0.29 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ | 1427 | -0.20 |
| $18: 00$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | 46 | $-0.04 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ | 1427 | 0.18 |
| $19: 00$ | $0.52 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | 46 | $-0.06 \%$ | $0.64 \%$ | 1427 | 2.14 |
| $20: 00$ | $0.31 \%$ | $2.02 \%$ | 46 | $-0.10 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | 1427 | 1.38 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.68 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ | 46 | $0.09 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | 1427 | 3.23 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 0 . 3 4 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 5 1 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 6 6 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 4 2 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 1 . 7 5}}$ |
| $23: 00$ | $0.32 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\underline{46}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 \%}$ | $0.69 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 8}$ |

Table B.3: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative overreactions, BTCUSD

|  | Positive overreactions |  | Negative overreactions |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hour | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns |
| $0: 00$ | $-0.54 \%$ | $-0.54 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ |
| $1: 00$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-0.65 \%$ | $-0.56 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ |
| $2: 00$ | $-0.02 \%$ | $-0.67 \%$ | $-0.24 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ |
| $3: 00$ | $-0.41 \%$ | $-1.08 \%$ | $-0.01 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |
| $4: 00$ | $-0.10 \%$ | $-1.18 \%$ | $-0.32 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ |
| $5: 00$ | $-0.28 \%$ | $-1.46 \%$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ |
| $6: 00$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $-1.64 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ | $1.51 \%$ |
| $7: 00$ | $0.54 \%$ | $-1.11 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.64 \%$ | $-1.74 \%$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-1.85 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |
| $10: 00$ | $0.25 \%$ | $-1.60 \%$ | $-0.15 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0}$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-1.70 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 7 \%}$ | $\underline{2.07 \%}$ |
| $12: 00$ | $0.48 \%$ | $-1.23 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ |
| $13: 00$ | $-0.36 \%$ | $-1.59 \%$ | $-0.18 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ |
| $14: 00$ | $-0.01 \%$ | $-1.60 \%$ | $-0.25 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ |
| $15: 00$ | $-0.56 \%$ | $-2.16 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 0 0}$ | $\underline{-0.59 \%}$ | $\underline{2.74 \%}$ | $0.56 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ |
| $17: 00$ | $0.81 \%$ | $-1.94 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.46 \%$ |
| $18: 00$ | $0.13 \%$ | $-1.81 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ |
| $19: 00$ | $-0.29 \%$ | $-2.10 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ |
| $20: 00$ | $0.22 \%$ | $-1.88 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| $21: 00$ | $-0.02 \%$ | $-1.91 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ | $-0.15 \%$ |
| $22: 00$ | $0.05 \%$ | $-1.86 \%$ | $-0.39 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| $23: 00$ | $-0.06 \%$ | $-1.92 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

[^0]Figure B.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, BTCUSD


## Appendix C

## LTCUSD: day of overreaction

Table C.1: Descriptive statistics for LTCUSD data: daily, hourly, days with positive and negative returns

| Parameter | Daily data | Positive <br> days | Negative days | Hourly <br> data | Positive days <br> (hourly data) | Negative days <br> (hourly data) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 0.0047 | 0.2057 | -0.1201 | 0.0001 | 0.0072 | -0.0050 |
| Standard error | 0.0021 | 0.0192 | 0.0043 | 0.0001 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 |
| Median | -0.0024 | 0.1504 | -0.1184 | -0.0001 | 0.0027 | -0.0035 |
| Mode | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.0698 | 0.1368 | 0.0304 | 0.0136 | 0.0265 | 0.0252 |
| Variance | 0.0049 | 0.0187 | 0.0009 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 |
| Kurtosis | 27.9109 | 9.1535 | 9.5862 | 23.1228 | 10.2157 | 9.8648 |
| Skewness | 3.2292 | 2.7385 | -2.3506 | 1.2878 | 1.8318 | 0.3360 |
| Minimum | -0.2650 | 0.1095 | -0.2650 | -0.1773 | -0.0876 | -0.1773 |
| Maximum | 0.8349 | 0.8349 | -0.0872 | 0.2061 | 0.1950 | 0.2002 |
| Sum | 5.1325 | 10.4890 | -5.8864 | 2.2125 | 6.5569 | -4.6369 |
| Observations | 1095 | 51 | 49 | 19047 | 912 | 936 |

Figure C.1: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, LTCUSD


Figure C.2: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, LTCUSD


Table C.2: t-test of hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, LTCUSD

| Hour | Average return on positive overreaction day (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | t criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | 0.67\% | 1.34\% | 37 | 0.02\% | 1.31\% | 794 | 2.88 |
| 1:00 | 0.50\% | 2.63\% | 37 | -0.04\% | 1.26\% | 794 | 1.24 |
| 2:00 | 0.88\% | 2.05\% | $\underline{37}$ | -0.05\% | 1.32\% | 793 | $\underline{2.75}$ |
| 3:00 | 0.28\% | 1.82\% | 37 | -0.08\% | 1.09\% | 793 | 1.22 |
| 4:00 | 0.97\% | 3.71\% | 37 | 0.03\% | 1.38\% | 793 | 1.54 |
| 5:00 | 0.22\% | 1.87\% | 37 | -0.07\% | 1.21\% | 793 | 0.91 |
| 6:00 | 0.11\% | 2.03\% | 37 | 0.00\% | 1.21\% | 793 | 0.34 |
| 7:00 | 0.27\% | 1.61\% | 37 | -0.04\% | 1.04\% | 793 | 1.16 |
| 8:00 | 0.73\% | 2.19\% | 37 | -0.06\% | 1.18\% | 793 | 2.19 |
| 9:00 | 0.54\% | 2.17\% | 37 | -0.03\% | 1.33\% | 793 | 1.60 |
| 10:00 | 0.33\% | 2.67\% | 37 | 0.03\% | 1.30\% | 793 | 0.68 |
| 11:00 | 1.43\% | 2.71\% | $\underline{37}$ | 0.15\% | 1.30\% | 794 | 2.86 |
| 12:00 | 2.21\% | 3.85\% | $\underline{37}$ | 0.14\% | 1.54\% | 794 | 3.26 |
| 13:00 | 2.40\% | 4.46\% | $\underline{37}$ | 0.04\% | 1.84\% | 794 | 3.20 |
| 14:00 | 0.59\% | 2.59\% | 37 | -0.08\% | 1.55\% | 794 | 1.55 |
| 15:00 | 1.28\% | 2.56\% | $\underline{37}$ | 0.11\% | 1.59\% | 794 | $\underline{2.76}$ |
| 16:00 | 1.00\% | 3.63\% | 37 | 0.10\% | 1.84\% | 794 | 1.50 |
| 17:00 | -0.18\% | 2.24\% | 37 | -0.03\% | 1.26\% | 794 | -0.40 |


| $\mathbf{1 8 : 0 0}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 8 9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 4 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 0 . 0 1 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 0 9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{7 9 4}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 2 1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19: 00$ | $0.50 \%$ | $1.94 \%$ | 37 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | 794 | 1.47 |
| $20: 00$ | $0.38 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | 37 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | 794 | 0.95 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | 37 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | 794 | 0.74 |
| $22: 00$ | $0.24 \%$ | $3.18 \%$ | 37 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | 794 | 0.33 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 3}: \mathbf{0 0}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 2 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 0 8 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3 7}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 4 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{7 9 4}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 0 6}}$ |

Table C.3:t-test of hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, LTCUSD

| Hour | Average return on negative overreaction day (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day with negative returns (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | t criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | -0.41\% | 2.10\% | 38 | -0.17\% | 1.36\% | 409 | -0.69 |
| 1:00 | -0.67\% | 1.67\% | 38 | -0.23\% | 1.22\% | 409 | -1.59 |
| 2:00 | -0.81\% | 2.03\% | 38 | -0.29\% | 1.33\% | 409 | -1.55 |
| 3:00 | -0.72\% | 1.60\% | $\underline{38}$ | $\underline{-0.23 \%}$ | 1.11\% | 409 | -1.86 |
| 4:00 | -0.16\% | 1.62\% | 38 | -0.08\% | 1.12\% | 409 | -0.29 |
| 5:00 | -0.68\% | 2.14\% | 38 | -0.16\% | 1.21\% | 409 | -1.46 |
| 6:00 | -0.42\% | 2.79\% | 38 | -0.17\% | 1.31\% | 409 | -0.54 |
| 7:00 | -0.62\% | 1.51\% | 38 | -0.19\% | 1.02\% | 409 | -1.75 |
| 8:00 | -1.08\% | 1.94\% | 38 | -0.29\% | 1.12\% | 409 | -2.46 |
| 9:00 | -1.02\% | 2.68\% | 38 | -0.25\% | 1.35\% | 409 | -1.76 |
| 10:00 | 0.20\% | 1.90\% | 38 | -0.18\% | 1.22\% | 409 | 1.21 |
| 11:00 | -0.49\% | 2.44\% | 38 | -0.08\% | 1.13\% | 409 | -1.03 |
| 12:00 | -0.35\% | 2.13\% | 38 | -0.04\% | 1.31\% | 409 | -0.87 |
| 13:00 | -0.99\% | 3.55\% | 38 | -0.25\% | 1.69\% | 409 | -1.27 |
| 14:00 | -0.96\% | 3.45\% | 38 | -0.27\% | 1.64\% | 409 | -1.22 |
| 15:00 | 0.54\% | 4.02\% | 38 | -0.08\% | 1.64\% | 409 | 0.94 |
| 16:00 | -1.36\% | 2.39\% | $\underline{38}$ | -0.15\% | 1.87\% | 409 | -3.04 |
| 17:00 | -0.52\% | 2.84\% | 38 | -0.12\% | 1.35\% | 409 | -0.86 |
| 18:00 | 0.00\% | 2.06\% | 38 | -0.17\% | 1.07\% | 409 | 0.50 |
| 19:00 | -0.20\% | 2.20\% | 38 | -0.09\% | 1.38\% | 409 | -0.32 |
| 20:00 | -0.04\% | 3.73\% | 38 | -0.11\% | 1.54\% | 409 | 0.11 |
| 21:00 | -0.41\% | 2.51\% | 38 | -0.05\% | 1.35\% | 409 | -0.87 |
| 22:00 | -0.17\% | 2.20\% | 38 | -0.03\% | 1.36\% | 409 | -0.40 |
| 23:00 | -0.55\% | 2.63\% | 38 | -0.15\% | 1.27\% | 409 | -0.92 |

Figure C.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, LTCUSD


Table C.4: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative overreactions, LTCUSD

|  | Positive overreactions |  |  | Negative overreactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal <br> returns | Overreaction <br> cross | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal <br> returns | Overreaction <br> cross |
| $0: 00$ | $0.65 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ | $10.27 \%$ | $-0.24 \%$ | $-0.24 \%$ | $-8.19 \%$ |
| $1: 00$ | $0.54 \%$ | $1.19 \%$ | $9.77 \%$ | $-0.44 \%$ | $-0.68 \%$ | $-7.52 \%$ |
| $2: 00$ | $0.93 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ | $8.89 \%$ | $-0.52 \%$ | $-1.20 \%$ | $-6.71 \%$ |
| $3: 00$ | $0.37 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | $8.61 \%$ | $-0.49 \%$ | $-1.70 \%$ | $-5.99 \%$ |
| $4: 00$ | $0.94 \%$ | $3.43 \%$ | $7.63 \%$ | $-0.08 \%$ | $-1.78 \%$ | $-5.83 \%$ |
| $5: 00$ | $0.28 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ | $7.42 \%$ | $-0.51 \%$ | $-2.29 \%$ | $-5.16 \%$ |
| $6: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ | $7.31 \%$ | $-0.25 \%$ | $-2.53 \%$ | $-4.74 \%$ |
| $7: 00$ | $0.31 \%$ | $4.14 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ | $-0.44 \%$ | $-2.97 \%$ | $-4.12 \%$ |
| $8: 00$ | $0.79 \%$ | $4.93 \%$ | $6.31 \%$ | $-0.78 \%$ | $-3.75 \%$ | $-3.04 \%$ |
| $9: 00$ | $0.58 \%$ | $5.51 \%$ | $5.77 \%$ | $-0.78 \%$ | $-4.53 \%$ | $-2.02 \%$ |
| $10: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $5.81 \%$ | $5.44 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ | $-4.15 \%$ | $-2.21 \%$ |
| $11: 00$ | $1.28 \%$ | $7.09 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $-0.41 \%$ | $-4.56 \%$ | $-1.72 \%$ |
| $12: 00$ | $2.07 \%$ | $9.16 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | $-0.31 \%$ | $-4.87 \%$ | $-1.37 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 9 \%}$ | $-0.74 \%$ | $-5.61 \%$ | $-0.38 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0}$ | $0.67 \%$ | $12.18 \%$ | $-1.18 \%$ | $\underline{-0.69 \%}$ | $-\mathbf{- 6 . 3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8 \%}$ |
| $15: 00$ | $1.17 \%$ | $13.35 \%$ | $-2.46 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ | $-5.69 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |
| $16: 00$ | $0.90 \%$ | $14.25 \%$ | $-3.47 \%$ | $-1.21 \%$ | $-6.90 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ |
| $17: 00$ | $-0.15 \%$ | $14.10 \%$ | $-3.29 \%$ | $-0.40 \%$ | $-7.30 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ |
| $18: 00$ | $0.89 \%$ | $14.99 \%$ | $-4.17 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ | $-7.13 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ |
| $19: 00$ | $0.47 \%$ | $15.47 \%$ | $-4.68 \%$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-7.24 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ |
| $20: 00$ | $0.39 \%$ | $15.86 \%$ | $-5.06 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ | $-7.17 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ |
| $21: 00$ | $0.23 \%$ | $16.09 \%$ | $-5.36 \%$ | $-0.36 \%$ | $-7.54 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ |
| $22: 00$ | $0.17 \%$ | $16.27 \%$ | $-5.60 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ | $-7.68 \%$ | $2.74 \%$ |
| $23: 00$ | $0.71 \%$ | $16.98 \%$ | $-6.32 \%$ | $-0.40 \%$ | $-8.08 \%$ | $3.29 \%$ |

## Appendix D

## LTCUSD: day after the overreaction

Figure D.1: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, LTCUSD


Table D.1: $\boldsymbol{t}$-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, LTCUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> day after <br> positive <br> overreaction <br> (OD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $0.32 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ | 38 | $0.02 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 794 | 0.87 |
| $1: 00$ | $0.19 \%$ | $2.29 \%$ | 38 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | 794 | 0.62 |
| $2: 00$ | $-0.18 \%$ | $2.32 \%$ | 38 | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | 793 | -0.35 |
| $3: 00$ | $-0.33 \%$ | $1.75 \%$ | 38 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | 793 | -0.85 |
| $4: 00$ | $0.55 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | 38 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | 793 | 0.91 |
| $5: 00$ | $-0.15 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | 38 | $-0.07 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 793 | -0.24 |
| $6: 00$ | $0.32 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ | 38 | $0.00 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 793 | 0.93 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ | 38 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | 793 | 0.60 |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.26 \%$ | $1.58 \%$ | 38 | $-0.06 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ | 793 | -0.77 |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.29 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | 38 | $-0.03 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | 793 | -0.75 |
| $10: 00$ | $-0.37 \%$ | $2.35 \%$ | 38 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | 793 | -1.04 |
| $11: 00$ | $0.60 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | 38 | $0.15 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | 794 | 1.14 |
| $12: 00$ | $0.25 \%$ | $2.38 \%$ | 38 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | 794 | 0.28 |
| $13: 00$ | $0.58 \%$ | $3.47 \%$ | 38 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ | 794 | 0.95 |
| $14: 00$ | $-0.47 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | 38 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ | 794 | -1.00 |
| $15: 00$ | $0.18 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | 38 | $0.11 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ | 794 | 0.22 |
| $16: 00$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | 38 | $0.10 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ | 794 | -0.52 |
| $17: 00$ | $0.07 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | 38 | $-0.03 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | 794 | 0.30 |
| $18: 00$ | $-0.23 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 38 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | 794 | -0.94 |


| $19: 00$ | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | 38 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | 794 | -0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20: 00$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | 38 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | 794 | -0.34 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.10 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | 38 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | 794 | 0.11 |
| $22: 00$ | $-0.29 \%$ | $2.65 \%$ | 38 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | 794 | -0.82 |
| $23: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $1.68 \%$ | 38 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | 794 | 0.36 |

Figure D.2: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, LTCUSD


Table D.2: t-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, LTCUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> day after <br> negative <br> overreaction <br> (OD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | 39 | $0.02 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 794 | -0.22 |
| 1:00 | $-0.36 \%$ | $2.08 \%$ | 39 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | 794 | -0.95 |
| $2: 00$ | $0.09 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | 39 | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | 793 | 0.50 |
| $3: 00$ | $0.28 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | 39 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | 793 | 1.31 |
| $4: 00$ | $-0.35 \%$ | $1.78 \%$ | 39 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | 793 | -1.33 |
| $5: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $2.62 \%$ | 39 | $-0.07 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 793 | 0.42 |
| $6: 00$ | $-0.35 \%$ | $1.97 \%$ | 39 | $0.00 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 793 | -1.09 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.06 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | 39 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | 793 | 0.48 |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.41 \%$ | $2.03 \%$ | 39 | $-0.06 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ | 793 | -1.05 |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.23 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ | 39 | $-0.03 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | 793 | -0.51 |
| $10: 00$ | $-0.32 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | 39 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | 793 | -1.07 |
| $11: 00$ | $0.23 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | 39 | $0.15 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | 794 | 0.26 |
| $12: 00$ | $1.23 \%$ | $4.06 \%$ | 39 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | 794 | 1.67 |
| $13: 00$ | $0.96 \%$ | $4.31 \%$ | 39 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ | 794 | 1.32 |


| $14: 00$ | $-0.13 \%$ | $3.36 \%$ | 39 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ | 794 | -0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15: 00$ | $0.46 \%$ | $2.85 \%$ | 39 | $0.11 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ | 794 | 0.76 |
| $16: 00$ | $0.87 \%$ | $3.98 \%$ | 39 | $0.10 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ | 794 | 1.20 |
| $17: 00$ | $-0.79 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ | 39 | $-0.03 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | 794 | -2.91 |
| $18: 00$ | $0.05 \%$ | $1.63 \%$ | 39 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | 794 | 0.20 |
| $19: 00$ | $0.60 \%$ | $1.97 \%$ | 39 | $0.03 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | 794 | 1.80 |
| $20: 00$ | $0.22 \%$ | $1.78 \%$ | 39 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | 794 | 0.80 |
| $21: 00$ | $0.74 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | 39 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | 794 | 2.17 |
| $22: 00$ | $-0.14 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | 39 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | 794 | -0.67 |
| $23: 00$ | $0.23 \%$ | $1.13 \%$ | 39 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | 794 | 1.16 |

Figure D.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns on the day after the overreaction, LTCUSD


Table D.3: Cumulative abnormal returns on the day after the overreaction: the case of positive and negative overreactions, LTCUSD

| Hour | Positive overreactions |  | Negative overreactions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns |
| $0: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $0.30 \%$ | $-0.07 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| $1: 00$ | $0.23 \%$ | $0.54 \%$ | $-0.32 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| $2: 00$ | $-0.13 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| $3: 00$ | $-0.24 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $-0.12 \%$ |
| $4: 00$ | $0.52 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ | $-0.38 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| $5: 00$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ |
| $6: 00$ | $0.32 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ | $-0.35 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| $7: 00$ | $0.16 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.20 \%$ | $0.87 \%$ | $-0.34 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.26 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ | $-0.20 \%$ | $0.87 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0}$ | $-0.40 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $\mathbf{- \mathbf { 0 . 3 5 \% }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 2 1 \%}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0}$ | $0.45 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 \%}$ |
| $12: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2 \%}$ | $0.92 \%$ | $-0.87 \%$ |
| $14: 00$ | $-0.39 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $-0.82 \%$ |
| $15: 00$ | $0.07 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $-1.17 \%$ |
| $16: 00$ | $-0.22 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $-1.94 \%$ |
| $17: 00$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.88 \%$ | $-0.76 \%$ | $-1.17 \%$ |
| $18: 00$ | $-0.23 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $-1.23 \%$ |
| $19: 00$ | $-0.04 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $-1.80 \%$ |
| $20: 00$ | $-0.08 \%$ | $0.53 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $-2.03 \%$ |
| $21: 00$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $-2.70 \%$ |
| $22: 00$ | $-0.36 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $-0.21 \%$ | $-2.49 \%$ |
| $23: 00$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $-2.71 \%$ |

Appendix E

## ETHUSD: day of overreaction

Table E.1: Descriptive statistics for ETHUSD data: daily, hourly, days with positive and negative returns

| Parameter | Daily data | Positive <br> days | Negative <br> days | Hourly data | Positive days <br> (hourly data) | Negative days <br> (hourly data) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 0.0039 | 0.1626 | -0.1355 | 0.0002 | 0.0066 | -0.0054 |
| Standard error | 0.0018 | 0.0060 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.0007 | 0.0010 |
| Median | 0.0000 | 0.1484 | -0.1225 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | -0.0032 |
| Mode | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.0613 | 0.0454 | 0.0426 | 0.0139 | 0.0233 | 0.0308 |
| Variance | 0.0038 | 0.0021 | 0.0018 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0010 |
| Kurtosis | 3.3418 | 2.2361 | 1.9070 | 21.4268 | 3.9199 | 11.7349 |
| Skewness | 0.3911 | 1.5380 | -1.4479 | 0.4050 | 0.4461 | 0.3255 |
| Minimum | -0.2703 | 0.1143 | -0.2703 | -0.2347 | -0.1138 | -0.2347 |
| Maximum | 0.3029 | 0.3029 | -0.0896 | 0.2371 | 0.1263 | 0.2371 |
| Sum | 4.7596 | 9.4321 | -7.7234 | 5.6704 | 7.7909 | -5.0130 |
| Observations | 1213 | 58 | 57 | 29100 | 1176 | 936 |

Figure E.1: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, ETHUSD


Figure E.2: Average hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, ETHUSD


Table E.2: t -test of hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, ETHUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> positive <br> overreaction <br> Hay (OD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> with positive <br> returns (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $0.84 \%$ | $2.19 \%$ | 48 | $0.23 \%$ | $1.52 \%$ | 604 | 1.91 |
| 1:00 | $0.80 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | 48 | $0.24 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ | 604 | 1.56 |
| $2: 00$ | $0.77 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | 48 | $0.20 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ | 604 | 1.92 |
| $3: 00$ | $0.62 \%$ | $2.19 \%$ | 48 | $0.20 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | 604 | 1.32 |
| $4: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $2.35 \%$ | 48 | $0.23 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 604 | 0.21 |
| $5: 00$ | $0.69 \%$ | $2.28 \%$ | 48 | $0.11 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ | 604 | 1.76 |
| $6: 00$ | $-0.10 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | 48 | $0.12 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | 604 | -0.80 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.65 \%$ | $1.87 \%$ | 48 | $0.19 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | 604 | 1.67 |
| $8: 00$ | $0.46 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ | 48 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | 604 | 1.56 |
| $9: 00$ | $0.13 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | 48 | $0.10 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 604 | 0.10 |
| $10: 00$ | $-0.13 \%$ | $2.46 \%$ | 48 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ | 604 | -0.48 |
| $11: 00$ | $0.60 \%$ | $2.07 \%$ | 48 | $0.24 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | 604 | 1.18 |
| $12: 00$ | $1.37 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | 48 | $0.26 \%$ | $1.45 \%$ | 604 | 2.78 |
| $13: 00$ | $0.86 \%$ | $2.76 \%$ | 48 | $0.12 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | 604 | 1.84 |
| $14: 00$ | $0.60 \%$ | $3.02 \%$ | 48 | $0.15 \%$ | $1.58 \%$ | 604 | 1.02 |
| $15: 00$ | $0.90 \%$ | $2.08 \%$ | 48 | $0.26 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ | 604 | 2.09 |
| $16: 00$ | $0.36 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | 48 | $0.24 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 604 | 0.40 |
| $17: 00$ | $0.46 \%$ | $2.38 \%$ | 48 | $0.10 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ | 604 | 1.01 |
| $18: 00$ | $0.98 \%$ | $1.97 \%$ | 48 | $0.20 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | 604 | 2.71 |


| $19: 00$ | $0.79 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | 48 | $0.25 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ | 604 | 1.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20: 00$ | $0.97 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | 48 | $0.13 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | 604 | 2.37 |
| $21: 00$ | $1.29 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | 48 | $0.28 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | 604 | 2.95 |
| $22: 00$ | $0.27 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | 48 | $0.17 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | 604 | 0.22 |
| $23: 00$ | $1.41 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | 48 | $0.35 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | 604 | 2.79 |

Table E.3:t-testof hourly returns on overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, ETHUSD

| Hour | Average return on negative overreaction day (OD) | Standard deviation (OD) | Number of observations (OD) | Average return on usual day with negative returns (UD) | Standard deviation (UD) | Number of observation (UD) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{t} \\ \text { criterion } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:00 | -1.02\% | 2.49\% | $\underline{38}$ | -0.19\% | 1.35\% | 602 | -2.05 |
| 1:00 | -0.81\% | 2.87\% | 38 | -0.23\% | 1.30\% | 602 | -1.25 |
| 2:00 | -0.07\% | 2.94\% | 38 | -0.09\% | 1.40\% | 602 | 0.06 |
| 3:00 | -0.73\% | 2.25\% | 38 | -0.20\% | 1.16\% | 602 | -1.43 |
| 4:00 | -0.26\% | 2.16\% | 38 | -0.12\% | 1.12\% | 602 | -0.41 |
| 5:00 | -0.78\% | 2.22\% | 38 | -0.13\% | 1.09\% | 602 | -1.79 |
| 6:00 | -0.02\% | 2.27\% | 38 | -0.15\% | 1.13\% | 602 | 0.34 |
| 7:00 | -1.59\% | 4.05\% | $\underline{\underline{38}}$ | -0.23\% | 1.48\% | 602 | $\underline{-2.05}$ |
| 8:00 | -0.37\% | 4.92\% | 38 | -0.22\% | 1.64\% | 602 | -0.18 |
| 9:00 | -0.35\% | 2.77\% | 38 | -0.24\% | 1.49\% | 602 | -0.26 |
| 10:00 | -0.65\% | 1.99\% | 38 | -0.20\% | 1.17\% | 602 | -1.38 |
| 11:00 | -0.54\% | 2.96\% | 38 | -0.11\% | 1.37\% | 602 | -0.89 |
| 12:00 | -0.59\% | 2.01\% | 38 | -0.07\% | 1.27\% | 602 | -1.55 |
| 13:00 | -1.07\% | 3.94\% | 38 | -0.15\% | 1.68\% | 603 | -1.42 |
| 14:00 | -0.38\% | 2.59\% | 38 | -0.17\% | 1.66\% | 603 | -0.50 |
| 15:00 | -0.26\% | 4.26\% | 38 | -0.17\% | 1.74\% | 603 | -0.14 |
| 16:00 | -0.55\% | 2.56\% | 38 | -0.10\% | 1.72\% | 603 | -1.06 |
| 17:00 | $\underline{\mathbf{- 1 . 0 0 \%}}$ | 2.65\% | $\underline{38}$ | -0.22\% | 1.39\% | 603 | -1.79 |
| 18:00 | -0.50\% | 3.27\% | 38 | -0.18\% | 1.38\% | 603 | -0.61 |
| 19:00 | -0.48\% | 2.88\% | 38 | -0.12\% | 1.42\% | 603 | -0.76 |
| 20:00 | 0.00\% | 3.84\% | 38 | -0.12\% | 1.58\% | 603 | 0.19 |
| 21:00 | -0.42\% | 2.47\% | 38 | -0.03\% | 1.28\% | 603 | -0.98 |
| 22:00 | -0.85\% | 3.76\% | 38 | -0.09\% | 1.51\% | 603 | -1.24 |
| 23:00 | 0.44\% | 3.73\% | 38 | -0.07\% | 1.54\% | 603 | 0.83 |

Figure E.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, ETHUSD


Table E.4: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative overreactions, ETHUSD

| Hour | Positive overreactions |  |  | Negative overreactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abnormal returns | CAR | Overreaction cross | Abnormal returns | CAR | Overreaction cross |
| 0:00 | 0.62\% | 0.62\% | 5.68\% | -0.84\% | -0.84\% | -7.77\% |
| 1:00 | 0.56\% | 1.17\% | 4.88\% | -0.59\% | -1.42\% | -6.96\% |
| 2:00 | 0.57\% | 1.75\% | 4.11\% | 0.03\% | -1.39\% | -6.90\% |
| 3:00 | 0.42\% | 2.17\% | 3.49\% | -0.53\% | -1.92\% | -6.17\% |
| 4:00 | 0.07\% | 2.24\% | 3.19\% | -0.14\% | -2.07\% | -5.90\% |
| 5:00 | 0.59\% | 2.83\% | 2.50\% | -0.65\% | -2.71\% | -5.12\% |
| 6:00 | -0.22\% | 2.61\% | 2.60\% | 0.13\% | -2.59\% | -5.10\% |
| 7:00 | 0.46\% | 3.06\% | 1.95\% | -1.35\% | -3.94\% | -3.51\% |
| 8:00 | 0.33\% | 3.39\% | 1.49\% | -0.14\% | -4.08\% | -3.14\% |
| 9:00 | 0.03\% | 3.42\% | 1.35\% | -0.12\% | -4.20\% | -2.79\% |
| 10:00 | -0.17\% | 3.25\% | 1.49\% | -0.45\% | -4.65\% | -2.15\% |
| 11:00 | 0.36\% | 3.61\% | 0.89\% | -0.43\% | -5.08\% | -1.60\% |
| 12:00 | 1.12\% | 4.73\% | -0.48\% | -0.51\% | -5.59\% | -1.02\% |
| 13:00 | 0.74\% | 5.47\% | -1.34\% | -0.91\% | -6.50\% | 0.05\% |
| 14:00 | 0.45\% | 5.92\% | -1.94\% | -0.21\% | -6.71\% | 0.43\% |
| 15:00 | 0.64\% | 6.56\% | -2.85\% | -0.09\% | -6.81\% | 0.69\% |
| 16:00 | 0.12\% | 6.68\% | -3.21\% | -0.45\% | -7.25\% | 1.24\% |
| 17:00 | 0.35\% | 7.03\% | -3.67\% | -0.78\% | -8.03\% | 2.24\% |
| 18:00 | 0.78\% | 7.81\% | -4.65\% | -0.33\% | -8.36\% | 2.74\% |
| 19:00 | 0.54\% | 8.36\% | -5.44\% | -0.36\% | -8.72\% | 3.21\% |
| 20:00 | 0.84\% | 9.20\% | -6.41\% | 0.12\% | -8.60\% | 3.22\% |
| 21:00 | 1.01\% | 10.21\% | -7.70\% | -0.40\% | -8.99\% | 3.64\% |
| 22:00 | 0.10\% | 10.31\% | -7.97\% | -0.76\% | -9.76\% | 4.49\% |
| 23:00 | 1.06\% | 11.37\% | -9.38\% | 0.50\% | -9.25\% | 4.06\% |

## Appendix $F$

## ETHUSD: day after the overreaction

Figure F.1: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, ETHUSD


Table F.1: $\mathbf{t}$-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of positive overreactions, ETHUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> day after <br> positive <br> overreaction <br> (OD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $2.76 \%$ | 58 | $0.02 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 1213 | -0.32 |
| 1:00 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.94 \%$ | 58 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1212 | 0.52 |
| $\mathbf{2 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 9 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 5 4 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 8}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 3 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 1 2}}$ | $\mathbf{\mathbf { 1 . 9 2 }}$ |
| $3: 00$ | $0.18 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ | 58 | $0.00 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | 1212 | 0.69 |
| $4: 00$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ | 58 | $0.05 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ | 1212 | -0.41 |
| $5: 00$ | $0.27 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ | 58 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | 1212 | 1.14 |
| $6: 00$ | $0.01 \%$ | $1.63 \%$ | 58 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ | 1212 | 0.09 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.31 \%$ | $1.77 \%$ | 58 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 1212 | 1.40 |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.67 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | 58 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | 1212 | -1.59 |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.50 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ | 58 | $-0.07 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1212 | -1.66 |
| $10: 00$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ | 58 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ | 1212 | 0.33 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 9 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 8}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2}$ |
| $12: 00$ | $0.37 \%$ | $2.19 \%$ | 58 | $0.09 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | 1212 | 0.96 |
| $13: 00$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | 58 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ | 1213 | 0.22 |
| $14: 00$ | $0.29 \%$ | $2.88 \%$ | 58 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.62 \%$ | 1213 | 0.79 |
| $15: 00$ | $0.31 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | 58 | $0.05 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ | 1213 | 0.69 |
| $16: 00$ | $-0.64 \%$ | $2.76 \%$ | 58 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ | 1213 | -1.95 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 : 0 0}$ | $0.08 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ | 58 | $-0.06 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | 1213 | 0.46 |
| $18: 00$ | $0.04 \%$ | $2.21 \%$ | 58 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 1213 | 0.10 |
| $19: 00$ | $-0.22 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ | 58 | $0.06 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1213 | -0.82 |


| $\underline{\mathbf{2 0}: \mathbf{0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 7 4 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 4 5 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 0 1 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 4 7 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 1 3}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 2 4}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21: 00$ | $0.62 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | 58 | $0.13 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | 1213 | 1.52 |
| $22: 00$ | $-0.55 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ | 58 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | 1213 | -1.69 |
| $23: 00$ | $0.19 \%$ | $2.89 \%$ | 58 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.46 \%$ | 1213 | 0.14 |

Figure F.2: Average hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, ETHUSD


Table F.2: $\mathbf{t}$-test of hourly returns on the day after the overreaction and normal days: the case of negative overreactions, ETHUSD

|  | Average <br> return on <br> day after <br> negative <br> overreaction <br> Hour | Standard <br> deviation <br> (OD) | Number of <br> observations <br> (OD) | Average <br> return on <br> usual day <br> (UD) | Standard <br> deviation <br> (UD) | Number of <br> observation <br> (UD) | t <br> criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 00$ | $-0.10 \%$ | $2.57 \%$ | 57 | $0.02 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 1213 | -0.36 |
| $1: 00$ | $0.00 \%$ | $3.14 \%$ | 57 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1212 | -0.02 |
| $2: 00$ | $0.38 \%$ | $2.85 \%$ | 57 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | 1212 | 0.87 |
| $3: 00$ | $0.21 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | 57 | $0.00 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | 1212 | 0.69 |
| $4: 00$ | $0.29 \%$ | $2.47 \%$ | 57 | $0.05 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ | 1212 | 0.71 |
| $5: 00$ | $-0.43 \%$ | $2.41 \%$ | 57 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | 1212 | -1.31 |
| $6: 00$ | $-0.50 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ | 57 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ | 1212 | -1.70 |
| $7: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ | 57 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | 1212 | 0.29 |
| $8: 00$ | $0.43 \%$ | $3.68 \%$ | 57 | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | 1212 | 0.96 |
| $9: 00$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | 57 | $-0.07 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1212 | -0.06 |
| $10: 00$ | $-0.47 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ | 57 | $-0.08 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ | 1212 | -1.61 |
| $11: 00$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | 57 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | 1212 | -0.11 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 7 3 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 7 0 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 0 9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 3 7 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 1 2}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 8 0}}$ |


| $13: 00$ | $0.23 \%$ | $3.13 \%$ | 57 | $-0.02 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ | 1213 | 0.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14: 00$ | $0.11 \%$ | $3.43 \%$ | 57 | $-0.01 \%$ | $1.62 \%$ | 1213 | 0.27 |
| $15: 00$ | $0.48 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ | 57 | $0.05 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ | 1213 | 1.11 |
| $16: 00$ | $0.44 \%$ | $2.92 \%$ | 57 | $0.07 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ | 1213 | 0.94 |
| $17: 00$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $2.17 \%$ | 57 | $-0.06 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | 1213 | -0.46 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 7}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1}$ |
| $19: 00$ | $0.78 \%$ | $3.12 \%$ | 57 | $0.06 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | 1213 | 1.72 |
| $20: 00$ | $0.40 \%$ | $2.92 \%$ | 57 | $0.01 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | 1213 | 1.01 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 1}: 00}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 6 1 \%}}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 7}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 . 3 2 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 1 3}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 2 2}}$ |
| $22: 00$ | $0.01 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | 57 | $0.04 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | 1213 | -0.09 |
| $23: 00$ | $0.39 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ | 57 | $0.14 \%$ | $1.46 \%$ | 1213 | 1.19 |

Figure F.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, ETHUSD


Table F.3: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative overreactions, ETHUSD

|  | Positive overreactions |  | Negative overreactions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns | Abnormal <br> returns | Cumulative <br> abnormal returns |
| $0: 00$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ |
| $1: 00$ | $0.14 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $-0.01 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| $2: 00$ | $0.64 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ | $-0.20 \%$ |
| $3: 00$ | $0.18 \%$ | $0.84 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $-0.41 \%$ |
| $4: 00$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $0.73 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $-0.64 \%$ |
| $5: 00$ | $0.28 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | $-0.42 \%$ | $-0.22 \%$ |
| $6: 00$ | $0.02 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $-0.48 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| $7: 00$ | $0.33 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| $8: 00$ | $-0.62 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ | $-0.34 \%$ |


| $9: 00$ | $-0.43 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $-0.02 \%$ | $-0.32 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ | $-0.39 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| $11: 00$ | $0.43 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ | $-0.03 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| $12: 00$ | $0.28 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ | $-0.55 \%$ |
| $13: 00$ | $0.06 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ | $-0.80 \%$ |
| $14: 00$ | $0.30 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ | $-0.92 \%$ |
| $15: 00$ | $0.26 \%$ | $1.73 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ | $-1.35 \%$ |
| $16: 00$ | $-0.71 \%$ | $1.02 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $-1.72 \%$ |
| $17: 00$ | $0.14 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $-0.13 \%$ | $-1.59 \%$ |
| $18: 00$ | $0.03 \%$ | $1.19 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ | $-2.07 \%$ |
| $19: 00$ | $-0.29 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ | $0.72 \%$ | $-2.78 \%$ |
| $20: 00$ | $0.73 \%$ | $1.63 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ | $-3.17 \%$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 1 : 0 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 4 9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 . 1 2 \%}} \%$ | $0.48 \%$ | $-3.65 \%$ |
| $22: 00$ | $-0.59 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ | $-0.03 \%$ | $-3.62 \%$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{2 3 : 0 0}} \boldsymbol{0}$ | $0.05 \%$ | $1.58 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 3 . 8 7 \%}}$ |

* contrarian effect detected


[^0]:    * contrarian effect detected

